	<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	nary	09/841,930	CARRO, FERNANDO INCERTIS
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Boris Pesin	2174
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Boris Pesin</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Eric Petraske</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 March 2004		Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:  112 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph			
Claims discussed: 2, 8, 13, 16, 21, 25			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant has several 112 problems within his claims. The examiner wanted to see if the 112 issues could be resolved with an examiner's amendment. The main issue is with the wording of "over or under" in the independent claims which causes 112 issues with depended claims.